Menthadiene-7-methyl formate

CAS N°: 68683-20-5 **Empirical formula:** $C_{12}H_{18}O_2$

Synonyms: Cyclohexadiene-1-ethanol, 4-(1-methylethyl)-, formate
Isobergamate
4-(Isopropyl)cyclohexadiene-1-ethyl formate
2-(4-Isopropylcyclohexadienyl)ethyl formate
Menthadienyl formate
4-(1-Methylethyl)cyclohexadiene-1-ethyl formate

History:

Initial reviews:	Feb. 1986 (16th Amendment) , July 1994 (28th Amendment)	
Current revision date:	2008	
Implementation date:	For new submissions*:	August 16, 2008
	For existing fragrance compounds*:	August 16, 2010
Next review date:	2013	

* This date applies to the supply of fragrance compounds (formulas) only, not to the finished products in the marketplace.

Standard: **RESTRICTED**

RESTRICTIONS:

Limits in the finished product:
For a description of the categories, refer to the QRA Informational Booklet.

Category 1: See Note box (1)	0.03 %	Category 7:	0.08 %
Category 2:	0.04 %	Category 8:	0.1 %
Category 3:	0.1 %	Category 9:	0.1 %
Category 4:	0.1 %	Category 10:	0.1 %
Category 5:	0.1 %	Category 11: Not restricted (2)	
Category 6: See Note box (1)	0.8 %		

Note box:
For this material, for pragmatic reasons, restrictive levels allowed by the QRA for certain categories but actually being higher than those already in place before applying the QRA, will temporarily not be implemented until the end of a 5 year monitoring phase. At the end of the 5 years the position will be reevaluated again.
(1) IFRA would recommend that any material used to impart perfume or flavour in products intended for human ingestion should consist of ingredients that are in compliance with appropriate regulations for foods and food flavourings in the countries of planned distribution and, where these are lacking, with the recommendations laid down in the Code of Practice of IOFI (International Organisation of the Flavor Industry) (http://www.iofiorg.org/).

(2) Category 11 includes all non-skin contact or incidental skin contact products. Due to the negligible skin contact from these types of products there is no justification for a restriction of the concentration of this fragrance ingredient in the finished product.

Fragrance material specification: N/A

Menthadiene-7-methyl formate

Contribution from other sources: None to consider (see also the note on contributions from other sources in the Introduction to the IFRA Standards).

Critical effect: Sensitization

RIFM Summaries:

LLNA weighted mean **EC3** values ($\mu g/cm^2$) [No. Studies]	**Potency Classification** Based on Animal Data[1]	**Human Data**			**WoE NESIL[3]** ($\mu g/cm^2$)
		NOEL – HRIPT (induction) ($\mu g/cm^2$)	**NOEL – HMT** (induction) ($\mu g/cm^2$)	**LOEL[2]** (induction) ($\mu g/cm^2$)	
>2025	Weak	1063[4]	690[4]	6900	1060

NOEL = No observed effect level; HRIPT = Human Repeat Insult Patch Test; HMT = Human Maximization Test; LOEL = lowest observed effect level; NA = Not Available

[1] Based on animal data using classification defined in ECETOC, Technical Report No. 87, 2003

[2] Data derived from HRIPT or HMT

[3] WoE NESIL limited to three significant figures

[4] MT-NOEL = Maximum Tested No Effect Level. No sensitization was observed in human predictive studies. Doses reported reflect the highest concentration tested, not necessarily the highest achievable NOEL

REXPAN Rationale / Conclusion:

The RIFM Expert Panel reviewed the critical effect data for Menthadiene-7-methyl formate and, based on the weight of evidence, established the No Expected Sensitization Induction Level (NESIL) as 1060 µg/cm². They recommend the limits for the 11 different product categories, which are the acceptable use levels of Menthadiene-7-methyl formate in the various product categories. These were derived from the application of the exposure-based quantitative risk assessment approach for fragrance ingredients, which is detailed in the QRA Expert Group Technical Dossier of June 22, 2006.

References:

RIFM (Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc.), 1977. Human Maximization Test. RIFM report number 1691, July 1b (RIFM, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, USA).

RIFM (Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc.), 1978a. Human Maximization Test. RIFM report number 1698, April 28 (RIFM, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, USA).

RIFM (Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc.), 1978b. Human Maximization Test. RIFM report number 1787, October 26 (RIFM, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, USA).

RIFM (Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc.), 1979. Human Maximization Tests. RIFM report number 1775, September 11 and December 7 (RIFM, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, USA).

RIFM (Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc.), 2007. Human Repeated Insult Patch Test. RIFM report number 53723, August 22a (RIFM, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, USA).

RIFM (Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc.), 2008. Local Lymph Node Assay. DRAFT RIFM data, report 54429 (RIFM, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, USA).