# Benzaldehyde

CAS N°:	100-52-7	Empirical formula: Structure:	C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>6</sub> O
Synonyms:	Benzenecarbonal Benzene carboxaldehyde Benzenecarboxaldehyde Benzenemethylal Benzoic aldehyde Bitter almond oil, synthetic Phenylformaldehyde Phenylmethanol aldehyde		

History:	Initial reviews:	June 2009	
	Current revision date:	June 2013	
	Implementation date:	For new submissions*:	August 10, 2013
		For existing fragrance compounds*:	August 10, 2014
	Next review date	2018	

\* This date applies to the supply of fragrance compounds (formulas) only, not to the finished products in the marketplace.

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

## RESTRICTED

# **RESTRICTIONS:**

Limits in the finished product:						
Category 1 See Note box (1)	0.02 %	Category 7	0.05 %			
Category 2	0.02 %	Category 8	0.60 %			
Category 3	0.09 %	Category 9	3.00 %			
Category 4	0.27 %	Category 10	2.50 %			
Category 5	0.14 %	Category 11	See Note box (2)			
Category 6	0.43 %					
Note box:						
<ul> <li>(1) IFRA would recommend that any material used to impart perfume or flavour in products intended for human ingestion should consist of ingredients that are in compliance with appropriate regulations for foods and food flavourings in the countries of planned distribution and, where these are lacking, with the recommendations laid down in the Code of Practice of IOFI (International Organisation of the Flavor Industry - www.iofiorg.org)</li> <li>(2) Category 11 includes all non-skin contact or incidental skin contact products. Due to negligible skin contact the concentration of a fragrance ingredient should not exceed the usual concentration of the fragrance compound in the finished product.</li> </ul>						
Fragrance material specification	ns:	N/A				

## Benzaldehyde

#### **CONTRIBUTION FROM OTHER SOURCES:**

See Annex I and Annex II

#### CRITICAL EFFECT:

## SENSITIZATION

#### **RIFM SUMMARIES:**

	Potency Classification Based on Animal Data <sup>2</sup>	Human Data			
LLNA weighted mean EC3 values (μg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) [no. studies]		NOEL – HRIPT (induction) (µg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	NOEL – HMT (induction) (µg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	LOEL <sup>1</sup> (induction) (µg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	WoE NESIL <sup>3</sup> (µg/cm <sup>2</sup> )
> 6250 [1] <sup>4</sup>	Weak	590	NA	<b>27</b> 60 <sup>5</sup>	590

All data in this Table are available from RIFM and are listed in the RIFM Database.

NOEL = No observed effect level; HRIPT = Human Repeat Insult Patch Test; HMT = Human Maximization Test; LOEL = lowest observed effect level; NA = Not Available.

<sup>1</sup>Data derived from HRIPT or HMT.

<sup>2</sup>Based on animal data using classification defined in ECETOC, Technical Report No. 87, 2003.

<sup>3</sup>WoE NESIL limited to two significant figures.

<sup>4</sup>EC3 value from one LLNA, not the mean.

<sup>5</sup>LOEL from human maximization test, not a human repeated insult patch test.

#### **REXPAN RATIONALE / CONCLUSION:**

The RIFM Expert Panel reviewed the critical effect data for benzaldehyde and, based on the weight of evidence, established the No Expected Sensitization Induction Level (NESIL) as 590 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>. They recommend the limits for the 11 different product categories, which are the acceptable use levels of benzaldehyde in the various product categories. These were derived from the application of the exposurebased quantitative risk assessment approach for fragrance ingredients, which is detailed in the QRA Expert Group Technical Dossier of June 22, 2006.

#### **REFERENCES:**

Basketter, D.A., Wright, Z., Gilmour, N.J., Ryan, C.A., Gerberick, G.F., Robinson, M.K., Dearman, R.J., Kimber, I., 2002. Prediction of human sensitization potency using local lymph node assay EC3 values. The Toxicologist, 66(1-S), 240.

RIFM (Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc.), 1973. Maximization study with benzaldehyde. RIFM report number 1802, October 11a. (RIFM, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, USA).

RIFM (Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc.), 2009. Human repeated insult patch test. RIFM report number 57360. (RIFM, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, USA).