

Methyl octine carbonate

CAS-No.:	111-80-8 The scope of this Standard includes, but is not limited to the CAS number(s) indicated above; any other CAS number(s) used to identify this fragrance ingredient should be considered in scope as well.	Molecular formula: Structure:	C ₁₀ H ₁₆ O ₂
Synonyms:	Methyl octyne carbonate Methyl 2-nonynoate 2-Nonynoic acid, methyl ester MOC		

History:	Publication date:	2020 (Amendment 49)	Previous	1988
			Publications:	2000
				2008

Implementation	For new submissions*:	February 10, 2021	
dates:	For existing fragrance compounds*:	February 10, 2022	
	*These dates apply to the supply of fragrance mixtures (formulas) only, not to the finished		
	consumer products in the marketplace.		

RECOMMENDATION:	RESTRICTION

RESTRICTION LIMITS	RESTRICTION LIMITS IN THE FINISHED PRODUCT (%):			
Category 1	0.0018 %	Category 7A	0.021 %	
Category 2	0.00055 %	Category 7B	0.021 %	
Category 3	0.011 %	Category 8	0.0011 %	
Category 4	0.010 %	Category 9	0.020 %	
Category 5A	0.0026 %	Category 10A	0.072 %	
Category 5B	0.0026 %	Category 10B	0.072 %	



Methyl octine carbonate

Category 5C	0.0026 %	Category 11A	0.040 %
Category 5D	0.0026 %	Category 11B	0.040 %
Category 6	0.0061 %	Category 12	No Restriction

Fragrance ingredient restriction - Note box

When used in the same fragrance compound within a specific QRA category, the sum total of and Methyl heptine carbonate (MHC, CAS number 111-12-6) and Methyl octine carbonate (MOC, CAS number 111-80-8) contributions must not exceed the maximum permitted level for MHC. At the same time, the contribution from MOC should always respect the maximum levels permitted as listed in the table above.

FLAVOR REQUIREMENTS:

Due to the possible ingestion of small amounts of fragrance ingredients from their use in products in Categories 1 and 6, materials must not only comply with IFRA Standards but must also be recognized as safe as a flavoring ingredient as defined by the IOFI Code of Practice (www.iofi.org). For more details see chapter 1 of the Guidance for the use of IFRA Standards.

CONTRIBUTIONS FROM OTHER SOURCES:

NONE TO CONSIDER (SEE ALSO THE SECTION ON CONTRIBUTIONS FROM OTHER SOURCES IN CHAPTER 1 OF THE GUIDANCE FOR THE USE OF IFRA STANDARDS)

INTRINSIC PROPERTY DRIVING RISK MANAGEMENT:

DERMAL SENSITIZATION

RIFM SUMMARIES:

Recommended concentration levels are based on a comprehensive safety assessment, considering various endpoints. Depending on the outcome of the safety assessment, it might be one or more endpoint(s) that will drive the derivation of the concentration levels. If more than one endpoint is of relevance, the recommended concentration levels for each product category is



Methyl octine carbonate

derived from comparing maximum permitted level per endpoint consideration (dermal sensitization and/or systemic toxicity). Such recommended concentration levels correspond to the lowest level obtained per category.

Additional information is available in the RIFM safety assessment for Methyl octine carbonate, which can be downloaded from the RIFM Safety Assessment Sheet Database: http://fragrancematerialsafetyresource.elsevier.com/.

EXPERT PANEL FOR FRAGRANCE SAFETY RATIONALE / CONCLUSION:

The Expert Panel for Fragrance Safety reviewed all the available data for Methyl octine carbonate and recommends the limits for the 12 different product categories, which are the acceptable use levels of Methyl octine carbonate in the various product categories.

REFERENCES:

The IFRA Standard on Methyl octine carbonate is based on at least one of the following publications:

- The RIFM Safety Assessment on Methyl octine carbonate if available at the RIFM Safety Assessment Sheet Database: http://fragrancematerialsafetyresource.elsevier.com
- Api A.M., Belsito D., Bruze M., Cadby P., Calow P., Dagli M. L., Dekant W., Dent M., Ellis G., Fryer A. D., Fukayama M., Griem P., Hickey C., Kromidas L., Lalko J., Liebler D.C., Miyachi Y., Politano V.T., Renskers K., Ritacco G., Salvito D., Schultz T.W., Sipes I. G., Smith B., Vitale D., Wilcox D.K. (2015). Criteria for the Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc. (RIFM) safety evaluation process for fragrance ingredients. Food Chem Toxicol. 2015 Aug;82 Suppl:S1-S19 (http://fragrancematerialsafetyresource.elsevier.com/sites/default/files/Criteria_Document_Final.p df).
- IDEA project (International Dialogue for the Evaluation of Allergens) Final Report on the QRA2: Skin Sensitisation Quantitative Risk Assessment for Fragrance Ingredients, September 30, 2016 (http://www.ideaproject.info/uploads/Modules/Documents/qra2-dossier-final--september-2016.pdf).
- Salvito D.T., Senna R. J., Federle T.W. (2002). A framework for prioritizing fragrance materials for aquatic risk assessment. Environ Toxicol Chem. 2002;21:1301-1308 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12069318).

Additional information on the application of IFRA Standards is available in the Guidance for the use of IFRA Standards, publicly available at www.ifrafragrance.org.