

Ethyl isopropyl bicycloheptene-2-carboxylate

CAS-No.:	116044-44-1 116126-82-0 The scope of this Standard includes, but is not limited to the CAS number(s) indicated above; any other CAS number(s) used to identify this fragrance ingredient should be considered in scope as well.
Synonyms:	Ethyl isopropyl bicycloheptene-2-carboxylate (2-endo,3-exo)-Ethyl 3-(1-methylethyl)bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylate (2-endo,3-exo)-Ethyl 3-isopropylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylate Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, 3-(1-methylethyl)-, ethyl ester, (2-endo,3-exo)-Ethyl (2S,3S)-3-isopropylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylate 3-(1-Methyl ethyl) bicyclo(2.2.1) hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid ethyl ester Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, 3-(1-methylethyl)-, ethyl ester, (2-exo,3-endo)-Ethyl (2R,3R)-3-isopropylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylate Herbanate (commercial name)

History:	Publication date:	2023 (Amendment 51)	Previous	Not applicable	
			Publications:		
					l
					ı

	For new creation*:	March 30, 2024	
dates:	For existing creation*:	October 30, 2025	
	*These dates apply to the supply of fragrance mixtu	res (formulas) only, not to the	
	finished consumer products in the marketplace.		

RECOMMENDATION:	RESTRICTION

MAXIMUM ACCEPTABLE CONCENTRATIONS IN THE FINISHED PRODUCT (%):			
Category 1	0.15 %	Category 7A	0.61 %
Category 2	0.050 %	Category 7B	0.61 %
Category 3	0.45 %	Category 8	0.080 %
Category 4	0.94 %	Category 9	1.8 %
Category 5A	0.24 %	Category 10A	3.0 %
Category 5B	0.24 %	Category 10B	0.15 %
Category 5C	0.24 %	Category 11A	0.080 %



Ethyl isopropyl bicycloheptene-2-carboxylate

Category 5D	0.080 %	Category 11B	0.080 %
Category 6	0.15 %	Category 12	No restriction

FLAVOR REQUIREMENTS:	Due to the possible ingestion of small amounts of
	fragrance ingredients from their use in products in
	Categories 1 and 6, materials must not only comply
	with IFRA Standards but must also be recognized
	as safe as a flavoring ingredient as defined by the
	IOFI Code of Practice (www.iofi.org). For more
	details see chapter 1 of the Guidance for the use of
	IFRA Standards.

	NONE TO CONSIDER BEYOND TRACES (SEE ALSO THE SECTION ON CONTRIBUTIONS FROM OTHER SOURCES IN CHAPTER 1 OF THE GUIDANCE FOR THE USE OF IFRA STANDARDS)
--	--

INTRINSIC	PROPERTY	DRIVING	RISK	DERMAL SENSITIZATION AND SYSTEMIC
MANAGEME	NT:			TOXICITY

RIFM SUMMARIES:

Maximum acceptable concentrations are based on a comprehensive safety assessment, considering various endpoints. Depending on the outcome of the safety assessment, it might be one or more endpoint(s) that will drive the derivation of the concentration levels. If more than one endpoint is of relevance, the maximum acceptable concentrations for each product category are derived from comparing maximum permitted level per endpoint consideration (e.g. dermal sensitization and/or systemic toxicity). Such maximum acceptable concentrations correspond to the lowest level obtained per category.

Additional information is available in the RIFM safety assessment for Ethyl isopropyl bicycloheptene-2-carboxylate, which can be downloaded from the RIFM Fragrance Material Safety Assessment Center: http://fragrancematerialsafetyresource.elsevier.com/.

EXPERT PANEL FOR FRAGRANCE SAFETY RATIONALE / CONCLUSION:

The Expert Panel for Fragrance Safety reviewed all the available data for Ethyl isopropyl bicycloheptene-2-carboxylate and recommends the concentrations for the 12 different product categories, which are the maximum acceptable concentrations of Ethyl isopropyl bicycloheptene-2-carboxylate in the various product categories.

REFERENCES:

The IFRA Standard on Ethyl isopropyl bicycloheptene-2-carboxylate is based on at least one of the following publications:

• The RIFM Safety Assessment on Ethyl isopropyl bicycloheptene-2-carboxylate if available at the RIFM Fragrance Material Safety Assessment Center: http://fragrancematerialsafetyresource.elsevier.com



Ethyl isopropyl bicycloheptene-2-carboxylate

- Api A.M., Belsito D., Bruze M., Cadby P., Calow P., Dagli M. L., Dekant W., Dent M., Ellis G., Fryer A. D., Fukayama M., Griem P., Hickey C., Kromidas L., Lalko J., Liebler D.C., Miyachi Y., Politano V.T., Renskers K., Ritacco G., Salvito D., Schultz T.W., Sipes I. G., Smith B., Vitale D., Wilcox D.K. (2015). Criteria for the Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc. (RIFM) safety evaluation process for fragrance ingredients. Food Chem Toxicol. 2015 Aug;82 Suppl:S1-S19 (http://fragrancematerialsafetyresource.elsevier.com/sites/default/files/Criteria Document Final.pdf).
- Salvito D.T., Senna R. J., Federle T.W. (2002). A framework for prioritizing fragrance materials for aquatic risk assessment. Environ Toxicol Chem. 2002;21:1301-1308 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12069318).

Additional information on the application of IFRA Standards is available in the Guidance for the use of IFRA Standards, publicly available at www.ifrafragrance.org.